⁵⁹Ni - Comments on evaluation of decay data M. Galán

1) Decay Scheme

⁵⁹Ni disintegrates mainly by electron capture (2nd forbidden non-unique) to the ground state of ⁵⁹Co. ⁵⁹Co ground state has $J_{\pi} = 7/2^{-}$ (2002BA42).

2) Nuclear Data

The Q value is from new values of 2009AuZZ: $Q_{\varepsilon} = 1072,76$ (19) keV. Other: 1075,1 (13) keV (1976BE02).

Only one direct measurement has been performed for the ⁵⁹Ni half-life. The value of 7,6 (0,5) \times 10⁴ years given by 1981Ni08 from two samples by absolute activity measurement has been adopted as the recommended value.

Some other indirect measurements from nuclear reactions have been performed.

The measured ⁵⁹Ni half-life values, in years, are:

Reference ^a	Value (a) $(\times 10^4)$	Procedure	Procedure Comments	
2008WAZW	9,7 (0,7)	⁶⁰ Ni(n,2n) ⁵⁹ Ni reaction	Corrected 1994Ru19 result with newest $\sigma_{thermal}$ and K_{α} yield. E(n) = 17-19 MeV	
1994RU19	10,8 (1,3)	58 Ni(n, γ) 59 Ni and 54 Fe(n, γ) 55 Fe reactions	E(n) = 14.8 MeV	
1991NO08	29 (10)	⁶⁰ Ni(n,2n) ⁵⁹ Ni reaction	Authors used $\sigma = 104$ (25) mbarn measured by 1988BO31 (very poor statistics)	
1981NI08	7,6 (0,5)	Absolute activity measurement	Recommended value	
1956SA32	10,0 (2,5)	58 Ni(n, γ) 59 Ni reaction	$E(n)$ = thermal. $T_{1/2}$ was not the purpose of the work	
1951BR05	7,5 (1,3)	58 Ni(n, γ) 59 Ni and 59 Co(n, γ) 60 Co reactions	E(n)= thermal. Data used for these reactions $\sigma = 4.2$ and $\sigma = 34.5$ respectively	
1951WI14	75	58 Ni(n, γ) 59 Ni reaction	No uncertainty given	

^aEvaluator used the NSR (Nuclear Science References, Brookhaven Lab.) keynumbers.

The only absolute activity measurement has been performed by 1981NI08. This value was deduced from 2 samples prepared by means of the reaction ${}^{59}\text{Co}(p,n){}^{59}\text{Ni}$, purified by ion-exchange columns in order to remove ${}^{58}\text{Co}$ activity. 6,93 keV Co K_{α} X-rays were measured by a Xe filled proportional counter. The value of 7,6 (5) $\times 10^4$ years estimated by 1981NI08 has been adopted as the recommended value as it comes from a direct measurement.

The five other measurements were all made in a very similar way. After neutron irradiation ⁵⁹Ni atoms are counted by mass spectrometry. Purification is performed to avoid iron or cobalt impurities. The induced activity in the samples has been counted via the Co K X-ray after the ⁵⁹Ni K capture.

2008WAZW corrected the half-life result given by 1994RU19 using the recently published thermal crosssection of ⁵⁸Ni (2004RA23) of 4,13 (5) barns instead of the old value of 4,6 (3) barns from 1981MUZQ. The ⁵⁹Ni half-life is reduced about 10 % but still higher than the recommended value. 2008WAZW analyzed the contribution to the uncertainty of the ⁵⁹Ni half-life mainly from the uncertainties in the crosssections.

1991NO08 used the cross-section of 60 Ni(n,2n) measured by 1988BO30 but this value was obtained with very poor statistics (as mentioned by authors of 1991NO08).

The oldest value (with uncertainty) given by 1951BR05 was estimated using thermal-neutron crosssections for ${}^{58}Ni(n,\gamma)$ and ${}^{59}Co(n,\gamma)$ of 4,17 and 34,5 barns respectively.

If a statistical analysis is made for the five indirect measurements, the Lweight code rejects 1991NO08 datum based on Chauvenet's criterion. For the other four values, the weighted mean with external uncertainty is 9,5 (6) $\times 10^4$ years.

Due to the high discrepancy of the results depending on the technique used, new experimental direct measurements are needed.

2.1) Electron Capture and Positron Transitions

Reference	β^+/K		β ⁺ /EC	
	experimental	theoretical	experimental	theoretical
1991JA02	4,2 (13) ×10 ⁻⁷			
1976Be02			1,5 ×10 ⁻⁷	
Theory (Logft Code)		1,75 ×10 ⁻⁵		1,55×10 ⁻⁵

Experimental β^+/K ratios are reported in Table 2 and compared with theoretical estimations:

The LOGFT program (theory) was used with the recent published Q value from 2009AuZZ.

For a 2^{nd} forbidden ε the Logft code gives a theoretical value of $P_{\beta+}(1072,76) = 0,00155(4)$ % and $P_{\varepsilon}(1072,76) = 99,99844(4)$ % with a logft of 11,89(3). Notice that the theoretical β^+/ε branching is in complete disagreement with the experimental results of $1,5 \times 10^{-7}$ (1976Be02) and 4,2 (13) $\times 10^{-7}$ (1991Ja02). The reason for this discrepancy remains unknown.

The EC-Capture program gives: $P_{K}=0,8870$ (16), $P_{L}=0,0966$ (13), $P_{M}=0,0156$ (5), $P_{N}=0,0008$ (2)

From the experimental value $\beta^+/K = 4,2$ (13) × 10⁻⁷ and assuming K/EC = 0,8870 (16) then we have $\beta^+/EC = 3,7$ (12) × 10⁻⁷.

And from β^+ + EC = 100 we obtain:

 $P_{\beta+} = 3,7 (12) \times 10^{-5} \%$ $P_{\epsilon} = 99,999 96 (1) \%$

Which are the adopted values.

3) Atomic Data

3.1) Atomic values (ω_k , ϖ_L and η_{KL}) are from 1996SC06.

3.1.1) X-Radiations, 3.1.2) Auger electrons

The X-ray and Auger electron emission probabilities have been estimated by using the computer code EMISSION. Results were verified with the RADLST computer code.

Good agreement has been found between the total decay energy of 1072,56 (19) keV computed for this decay scheme by RADLST code and the Q value of 1072,76 (19) keV.

4) Gamma emissions

The annihilation radiation emission probability (I_{γ 511}) is computed as $2 \times P_{\beta_+}$, without the correction factor for the annihilation-in-flight process in the medium, that is $I_{\gamma511} = 7,4$ (24) $\times 10^{-5}$ %.

5) References

1949PO04	H.S. Pomerance, Phys. Rev. 76 (1949) 195
	$[\sigma_{\text{thermal}}]$
1951BR05	A.R. Brosi, C.J. Borkowski, E.E. Conn, J.C. Griess, Phys. Rev. 81 (1951) 391 [T _{1/2}]
1951WI14	H.W. Wilson, Phys. Rev. 82 (1951) 548
	$[T_{1/2}]$
1956SA32	B. Saraf. Phys. Rev. 102 (1956) 466
	[T _{1/2} , inner Bremsstrahlung]
1976BE02	D. Bérenyi, G. Hock, A. Ménes, G. Székely, Cs. Ujhelyi, B.A. Zon. Nucl. Phys. A 256 (1976) 87
	$[I\beta, Q, \epsilon/\beta^+]$
1981MUZQ	S.F.Mughabghab, M.Divadeenam, N.E.Holden. Neutron Cross Sections, Vol.1, Neutron Resonance Parameters and Thermal Cross Sections, Part A, $Z = 1-60$, Academic Press, New York (1981).
	$[\sigma_{\text{thermal}}]$
1981NI08	K. Nishiizumi, R. Gensho, M. Honda, Radiochim. Acta 29 (1981) 113
	$[T_{1/2}]$
1988BO30	D.L. Bowers, L.R. Greenwood, J. Radioanal. Nucl. Chem. 123 (1988) 461
	$[\sigma_{\text{thermal}}]$
1991JA02	Z. Janas, M. Pfützner, A. Plochocki, P. Hornshoj, H.L. Nielsen, Nucl. Phys. A524 (1991) 391
	$[\mathrm{K}/\beta^+]$
1991NO08	E.Nolte, T.Brunner, T.Faestermann, A.Gillitzer, G.Korschinek, D.Muller, B.Schneck, D.Weselka, V.N.Novikov, A.A.Pomansky, A.Ljubicic, D.Miljanic, H.Vonach, J.Phys.(London) G17 (1991) S355
	$[T_{1/2}]$
1994RU19	W.Rühm, B.Schneck, K.Knie, G.Korschinek, L.Zerle, E.Nolte, D.Weselka, H.Vonach,
	Planet. Space Sci. 42 (1994) 227
	$[T_{1/2}]$
1996SC06	E.Schönfeld, H. Janssen, Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A 369 (1996) 527 [atomic data]
2002BA42	C.M. Baglin, Nuclear Data Sheets 95 (2002) 215
	$[J,\pi]$

2004RA23	S.Raman, X.Ouyang, M.A.Islam, J.W.Starner, E.T.Jurney, J.E.Lynn, G.Martinez-Pinedo,
	Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 044318
	$[\sigma_{thermal}]$
2008WAZW	A. Wallner, K, Knie, T. Faestermann, G. Korschinek, W. Kutschera, W. Rochow, G.
	Rugel, H. Vonach, Proc. Int. Conf. on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, vol. 2,
	April 22-27, 2007, Nice (France)
	$[T_{1/2}]$
2009AUZZ	G.Audi, W. Meng, D. Lunney, B.Pfeiffer. Priv. Comm. (2009)
	[Q value]