



## 1 Decay Scheme

Ti-44 disintegrate 100% by electron capture to excited levels in Sc-44 ( $T_{1/2} = 3.93$  h), which subsequently decays by EC and beta plus to Ca-44.

*Le titane 44 se désintègre à 100% par capture électronique vers des niveaux excités du scandium 44; lequel décroît ( $T_{1/2} = 3,93$  h) par capture électronique et émission bêta plus vers le calcium 44.*

## 2 Nuclear Data

$T_{1/2}({}^{44}\text{Ti})$	:	60,0	(11)	a
$T_{1/2}({}^{44}\text{Sc})$	:	3,97	(4)	h
$Q^+({}^{44}\text{Ti})$	:	267,5	(19)	keV

### 2.1 Electron Capture Transitions

	Energy keV	Probability × 100	Nature	lg $ft$	$P_K$	$P_L$	$P_{M+}$
$\epsilon_{0,2}$	121,3 (19)	99,6 (11)	1 st Forbidden	6,5	0,8891 (20)	0,0960 (16)	0,0149 (7)
$\epsilon_{0,1}$	199,6 (19)	0,4 (11)	1 st Forbidden	9,3	0,8917 (19)	0,0938 (16)	0,0145 (7)

### 2.2 Gamma Transitions and Internal Conversion Coefficients

	Energy keV	$P_{\gamma+ce}$ × 100	Multipolarity	$\alpha_K$	$\alpha_L$	$\alpha_{M+}$	$\alpha_T$
$\gamma_{1,0}(\text{Sc})$	67,8680 (14)	100,9 (15)	E1	0,0766 (23)	0,00665	0,00125	0,0845 (25)
$\gamma_{2,1}(\text{Sc})$	78,36 (3)	99,5 (11)	M1	0,0273 (8)	0,00244	0,00046	0,032 (1)
$\gamma_{2,0}(\text{Sc})$	146,22 (3)	0,096 (3)	[M2]	0,0414 (12)	0,00385	0,00075	0,046 (1)

### 3 Atomic Data

#### 3.1 Sc

$\omega_K$	:	0,196	(5)
$\bar{\omega}_L$	:	0,0027	(6)
$n_{KL}$	:	1,594	(5)

#### 3.1.1 X Radiations

	Energy keV	Relative probability
X <sub>K</sub>		
K $\alpha_2$	4,0862	50,69
K $\alpha_1$	4,0906	100
K $\beta_1$	4,4604	}
K $\beta_5''$	4,4866	
		20,13
X <sub>L</sub>		
L $\ell$	0,348	
L $\gamma$	- 0,468	

#### 3.1.2 Auger Electrons

	Energy keV	Relative probability
Auger K		
KLL	3,45 – 3,65	100
KLX	3,93 – 4,08	26,4
KXY	4,38 – 4,48	1,74
Auger L	0,3 – 0,5	270

## 4 Electron Emissions

		Energy keV	Electrons per 100 disint.
e <sub>AL</sub>	(Sc)	0,3 - 0,5	167,5 (24)
e <sub>AK</sub>	(Sc)		79,5 (21)
	KLL	3,45 - 3,65	}
	KLX	3,93 - 4,08	}
	KXY	4,38 - 4,48	}
ec <sub>1,0</sub> K	(Sc)	63,375 (2)	7,12 (24)
ec <sub>1,0</sub> L	(Sc)	67,37 - 67,47	0,621 (21)
ec <sub>1,0</sub> M	(Sc)	67,81 - 67,86	0,116 (4)
ec <sub>2,1</sub> K	(Sc)	73,83 (3)	2,63 (8)
ec <sub>2,1</sub> L	(Sc)	77,82 - 77,92	0,235 (8)

## 5 Photon Emissions

### 5.1 X-Ray Emissions

		Energy keV	Photons per 100 disint.	
XL	(Sc)	0,348 — 0,468	0,45 (9)	
XK $\alpha_2$	(Sc)	4,0862	5,76 (18)	} K $\alpha$
XK $\alpha_1$	(Sc)	4,0906	11,4 (4)	}
XK $\beta_1$	(Sc)	4,4604	}	K' $\beta_1$
XK $\beta_5''$	(Sc)	4,4866	}	

### 5.2 Gamma Emissions

	Energy keV	Photons per 100 disint.
$\gamma_{1,0}$ (Sc)	67,8679 (14)	93,0 (15)
$\gamma_{2,1}$ (Sc)	78,36 (3)	96,4 (11)
$\gamma_{2,0}$ (Sc)	146,22 (3)	0,092 (3)

## 6 Main Production Modes

Sc – 45(p,2n)Ti – 44

Sc – 45(d,3n)Ti – 44

## 7 References

- E.W. CYBULSKA, L. MARQUEZ. Nuovo Cim. 14 (1959) 479  
(Half-life (level))
- P. THIEBERGER. Ark. Fysik 22 (1962) 127  
(Half-life (level))
- J.K KLIWER, *et al.* Nucl. Phys. 49 (1963) 328  
(Gamma ray energies)
- K. BANDI, *et al.* Nucl. Phys. 59 (1964) 33  
(Half-life(level))
- P.E. MORELAND, D. HEYMANN. J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 27 (1965) 493  
(Half-life)
- J. WING, *et al.* J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 27 (1965) 487  
(Half-life)
- R.A. RISTINEN, A.W. SUNYAR. Phys. Rev. 153 (1967) 1209  
(Gamma ray energies, Gamma-ray emission probabilities)
- V.P. GUPTA, D.K. GUPTA. Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys. 13 (1975) 334  
(Half-life(level))
- I.M.BAND, *et al.* At. Data. Nucl. Data Tables 18 (1976) 433  
(ICC (Theoretical))
- D.E. FREKERS, *et al.* Phys. Rev. C28 (1983) 1756  
(Half-life)
- T.W. BURROWS. The Program RADLST, report BNL-NCS-52142 (1988)  
( )
- D.E. ALBURGER, E.K. WARBURTON. Phys. Rev. C38 (1988) 1843  
(Gamma-ray emission probabilities)
- M.J.WOODS. (1988)  
(Limitation of Relative Statistical Weights)
- D.E. ALBURGER, G. HARBOTTLE. Phys. Rev. C41 (1990) 2320  
(Half-life)
- U. SCHOTZIG. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A286 (1990) 523  
(Gamma-ray emission probabilities)
- C. WESSELBORG, D.E. ALBURGER. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A302 (1991) 89  
(Gamma ray energies)
- A.F. LYUDIN, *et al.* Astrn. Astrophys. 284 (1994) L1  
(Gamma-ray emission probabilities, Gamma ray energies)
- J. MEISSNER, *et al.* Nuclei in the Cosmos III, edited by Maurizio Busso and Claudia M. Raiteri, AIP Conf. Proc. No. 327 (AIP, New York) 327 (1995) 303  
(Half-life)
- G. AUDI, A.H. WAPSTRA. Nucl. Phys. A595 (1995) 409  
(Q-value)
- E. SCHÖNFELD, H. JANSSEN. Nucl. Instrum. Methods A369 (1996) 527  
(X rays. Atomic fluorescence yields.)
- J. MEISSNER. (1996)  
(Half-life)
- R.B. FIRESTONE. (1996)  
(<sup>44</sup>Sc EC+B+ decay, Gamma ray energies, Gamma-ray emission probabilities)
- E.B. NORMAN, *et al.* Nucl. Phys. A621 (1997) 92c  
(Half-life)
- S. WOOSLEY, R. DIEHL. Physics World 11 (1998) 22  
(Half-life)

- B. SINGH, J.L RODRIGUEZ, S.S. WONG, J.K. TULI. Nucl. Data Sheets 84 (1998) 487  
(Log ft systematics)
- E. YAKUSEV, N. COURSOLO. (1998)  
(ICC calculations)
- E. SCHÖNFELD. Appl. Rad. Isotopes 49 (1998) 1353  
(Elec. Capt. probability sub-shell ratios)
- E.B. NORMAN, *et al.* Phys. Rev. C57 (1998) 2010  
(Half-life)
- J. GORRES, *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 2554  
(Half-life)
- I. AHAMD, *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 2550  
(Half-life)
- E. SCHÖNFELD, F. CHU, E. BROWNE. EC-Capture, a computer program (1998)  
(Elec. Capt. probability sub-shell ratios)
- F.E. WIETFELDT, *et al.* Phys. Rev. C59 (1999) 528  
(Half-life)

